# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE WESTERN DIVISION

JURY VERDICT FORM		
Defendant.	, )	
CARRIER CORPORATION,	)	
CADDIED CODDODATION	)	
v.	)	No. 2:15-cv-02726-JPM-cgc
Plaintiff,	)	
ECIMOS, LLC,	)	

### **LIABILITY DETERMINATIONS**

## **Trade Secret Misappropriation**

Question No. 1: Trade Secret Misappropriation (TUTSA)

Has ECIMOS, LLC ("ECIMOS") proven by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the following items constitute trade secrets under the Tennessee Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1701-1709?

**No. 1:** ECIMOS's valid tests, including the source code, used for the testing of HVAC equipment

No. 2: ECIMOS's test procedures, including source code

Yes	No	

No. 3: ECIMOS's database schema, including source code

Yes	No

No. 4: ECIMOS's software source code
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Question No. 2: <u>Trade Secret Misappropriation (TUTSA)</u>
If you answered "No" to all parts of the previous question, skip Question No. 2.
Otherwise, answer the following question. Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of
evidence that Carrier Corporation misappropriated any alleged trade secrets of ECIMOS under
Tennessee Uniform Trade Secrets Act, Tenn. Code Ann. § 47-25-1702 ("TUTSA"):
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ISS; a "No" answer is in favor of the Defendant.]
Question No. 3: <u>Trade Secret Misappropriation (TUTSA)</u>
If you find misappropriation of any alleged trade secret, then which of the following do y
find have been misappropriated by which Defendant(s)?
No. 1: ECIMOS's valid tests, including the source code, used for the testing of
HVAC equipment.
Yes No
No. 2: ECIMOS's test procedures, including source code
Yes No
No. 3: ECIMOS's database schema, including source code

	Y es	_ No
	No. 4: ECIM	OS's software source code
	Yes	_ No
[A "Yes	s" answer is in favor	of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
		Contract Claims
	Question No.	4: <u>Software License Agreement</u>
	Has ECIMOS	proven by a preponderance of the evidence that there was a license
agreer	nent?	
	Yes	_ No
[A "Yes	" answer is in favor	of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
	Question No.	5: <u>Software License Agreement Terms</u>
	Has ECIMOS	S proven by a preponderance of the evidence the terms of the software
licensi	ing agreement?	
	Yes	No
[A "Yes	" answer is in favor	of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
	Question No.	6: Breach of Licensing Agreement
	Has ECIMOS	S proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation
breach	ned the terms of	the licensing agreement?
	Yes	_ No

[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Question No. 7: <u>Breach of Contract</u>
Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation breached
the terms of the parties' 2002 contract or any subsequent contract?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Copyright Claims
Question No. 8: <u>Valid Copyright</u>
Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence the existence of a valid copyright
in the database schema and source code?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Question No. 9: <u>Copyright Protection</u>
Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the database schema and
source code is afforded copyright protection?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Question No. 10: <u>Direct Copyright Infringement - Literal</u>
Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation directly
infringed upon the literal elements of the database?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]

Question No. 11: <u>Direct Copyright Infringement – Non-Literal</u>
Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation directly
infringed upon the non-literal elements of the database?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Question No. 12: <u>Indirect Copyright Infringement - Literal</u> Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation
indirectly infringed upon the literal elements of the database?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Question No. 13: <u>Indirect Copyright Infringement – Non-Literal</u> Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation indirectly infringed upon the non-literal elements of the database?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]
Spoliation of Evidence
Question No. 14: <u>Concealment/Destruction of Evidence</u>
Has ECIMOS proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Carrier Corporation
intentionally concealed, destroyed, or failed to preserve evidence relevant to this case?
Yes No
[A "Yes" answer is in favor of ECIMOS; a "No" answer is in favor of Carrier Corporation]

## **DAMAGE CLAIMS**

The following section relates to the amount of damages, if any, ECIMOS and Carrier

Corporation have proven. You must answer each section separately and independently. Thus, if you return a verdict of damages on one claim, you may return the same or a different amount of damages on any other claim. Damages may have multiple causes.

#### MISAPPROPRIATED TRADE SECRETS

Question No. 15: If you answered "Yes" to Question No. 2 (regarding misappropriation of ECIMOS's alleged trade secrets) what amount of damages, if any, is ECIMOS entitled to as a result of Carrier Corporation's misappropriation of trade secrets? [If you answered "No" as to Question No. 2, then do not answer this question.]

\$

If you have filled in an amount in response to Question No. 15, you need to answer an additional question regarding that amount: Does the amount include numbers for both Lost Profits of ECIMOS and Carrier Corporation's Net Profits?

Answer: Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

If your answer is "Yes" indicate the amount for each category:

Lost Profits of ECIMOS \$

Carrier Corporation's Net Profits \$\_\_\_\_\_

If damages were awarded in response to Question No. 15 are any of those damages duplicative of the damages, if any, awarded in response to any other verdict question?

Yes:\_\_\_\_\_ No:\_\_\_\_

#### **BREACH OF CONTRACT**

Question No. 16: If you answered "Yes" to Questions No. 6 or No. 7 (regarding whether or not Carrier Corporation breached the terms of the licensing agreement and/or the 2002

and any subsequent contracts), then what amounts do you award against Carrier Corporation?
\$
If damages were awarded in response to Question No. 16 are any of those damages duplicative of
the damages, if any, awarded in response to any other verdict question?
Yes: No:
COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT DAMAGES
Question No. 17: If you answered "Yes" to Question Nos. 10, 11, 12, and/or 13
(regarding infringement on ECIMOS's copyright) what amount of damages, if any, is ECIMOS
entitled to as a result of Carrier Corporation's misappropriation of trade secrets? [If you
answered "No" as to any of those questions, then do not answer this question.]
\$
If you have filled in an amount in response to Question No. 17, you need to answer an
additional question regarding that amount: Does the amount include numbers for both Lost Profits
of ECIMOS and Carrier Corporation's Net Profits?
Answer: Yes No
If your answer is "Yes" indicate the amount for each category:
Lost Profits of ECIMOS \$
Carrier Corporation's Net Profits \$
If damages were awarded in response to Question No. 17 are any of those damages duplicative of
the damages, if any, awarded in response to any other verdict question?
Yes: No:

#### **PUNITIVE DAMAGES QUESTIONS**

If both liability and damages (either compensatory or nominal damages) have been found with regard to the specific issues raised in the questions set out earlier in this verdict form, then as to each specific finding of both liability and damages you should now answer an additional question as to each previous question as to which you have found liability.

#### Willful and Malicious Conduct

Question No. 18: <u>Trade Secret Misappropriation</u>

If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, has ECIMOS proven by clear and convincing evidence that Carrier Corporation's conduct was willful and malicious?

Yes	No

Question No. 19: Breach of Contract

If you answered "Yes" to Question Nos. 6 or 7, has ECIMOS proven by clear and convincing evidence that Carrier Corporation's conduct was either intentional, reckless, malicious, or fraudulent?

Yes	No	
_	 ·	

Question No. 20: <u>Copyright Infringement</u>

If you answered "Yes" to Question Nos. 10, 11, 12, or 13, has ECIMOS proven by clear and convincing evidence that Carrier Corporation's conduct was either intentional, reckless, or malicious?

Yes	No

Question No. 21: <u>Concealment/Destruction of Evidence</u>

If you answered "yes" to Question No. 14, has ECIMOS proven by clear and convincing

evidence that Car	rrier Corporation's cond	uct was intentional and	I done with the purpose of ev	vading
liability in this cas	se?			
	Yes	No		
Presiding Juror:			Date:	
After the presiding	ng juror signs, then ea	ch juror should also s	sign, indicating agreement t	o <u>each</u>
verdict (i.e., each	answer) in the verdict for	orm.		
			_	
			·	